

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/583,760		TREICHLER ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Ganapathy Krishnan		1623	

All Participants:

(1) Ganapathy Krishnan.

(2) Mr. Willima Schmidt, II.

Date of Interview: 28 April 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

see continuation sheet

Part III.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Ganapathy Krishnan/
Examiner, Art Unit 1623

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Informed the attorney of record that:

1. In claim 1, part (ii), insert the specific linkers as recited in the specification at page 12, lines 13-15.
2. In claim 20 (a), delete the terms, 'or an infection'.

The above two amendments might put the pending claims in a condition for allowance. Applicants agreed the proposed amendments and said that the amendments will be faxed.